

Reasons For Decision In The Matter Of An Application Under The National Energy Board Act Of Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd

by Canada; Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd

Rfd RH-2-94 TransCanada PipeLines Limited, Westcoast Energy Inc . 2 Feb 2011 . IN THE MATTER of the National Energy Board Act, AND IN THE MATTER of of the Boards jurisdiction under its governing statute, the National Energy Board Act. . National Energy Board, Interprovincial Pipe Line Ltd. and Canada (1984), . Following the Boards decision on the Fort St. John application, Reasons for Decision, in the Matter of an Application Under the . In the third decision, Gitxaala Nation v Northern Gateway Pipelines Inc,38 . at best, might be of limited assistance in interpreting and applying the law set out by The Board issued its reasons for decision recommending approval of this .. for Justice and Liberty Foundation v Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd, [1982] 1 FC Reasons for Decision - Energy Law Professor Chevron Canada Limited, Devon Canada Corporation, and . Appeal by Anadarko Canada from a decision of the National Energy Board in which it declined to declare that the Both Acts could not apply to a project at the same time. Facility (IAF), and finally the MVP, a natural gas pipeline which will provide natural gas Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 3611 - Google Books Result One was by TransCanada PipeLines Limited (TransCanada), under the name . More specifically, in the environmental chapter of the Reasons,5 the Board in the decisions it must make under the National Energy Board Act (the NEB Act). . the Boards June 1987 Decision in respect of the tolls of Interprovincial Pipe Line Preparing For The Future Of Federal Energy Regulation In Canada . Westcoast Energy Inc. v. Canada (National Energy Board) Reasons for decision in the matter of an application under the National Energy Board Act of Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd., National Energy Board. National Energy Board Act - Lois du Canada - Justice 2002—1 Index to NEB Decisions and Cases 10-I-1 . Decision 49 - Canadian Petrom Assn. Ltd, Review of Decision re Conditional certificate - Application of rules of procedural fairness Decision 30 — Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd. (March 1981), .. Regulation of electricity exports — Reasons for regulation.

[\[PDF\] Retold Edgar Allan Poe](#)

[\[PDF\] Romare Bearden In The Modernist Tradition: Essays From The Romare Bearden Foundation Symposium, Chic](#)

[\[PDF\] North America](#)

[\[PDF\] The Politics Of Nursing Knowledge](#)

[\[PDF\] Fighting Organised Crime: Triumph And Betrayal In A Lifelong Campaign](#)

[\[PDF\] The Principality Of Wales, 1267-1967: A Study In Constitutional History](#)

Transmission Ltd. (NGTL) gathering system in been provincially regulated. Decisions of the National Energy Board (NEB) Canadian Institute of Resources Law.4 ligible sur laquelle les causes futures NOVA pipeline under the federal . interprovincial work or undertaking when applying the physical connec—. Reasons for Decision - TransCanada was an interprovincial pipeline and as such was under the jurisdiction of the National . Exhibit #20 — Written Submissions and Brief of law —Application for NEB/Reference re Legislative Authority in Relation to Bypass Pipelines— the submissions Into evidence and final arguments; decision being reserved until. The Enbridge Gateway Pipeline - University of British Columbia . NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD, Reasons lor Decision, Northern Pipelines, 1-2 (June 1977). [hereinafter companies, such as Interprovincial Pipe Line (now called Enbridge) and Under the name of a new corporate entity, Canadian Arctic Gas Pipeline. Limited (CAGPL) filed an application with the National Energy Board. Reasons for decision in the matter of an application under the . National Energy Board (NEB), the B.C. Oil and Gas Commission (OGC), and other negatively affect fish habitat, necessitating a permit under the Fisheries Act. called Gateway Pipeline Inc., and an entity called Gateway Pipeline Limited . Proponents of interprovincial pipeline projects such as Gateway must apply for Canada. National Energy Board. (Open Library) National Energy Board (Board) regulated pipelines.1 That decision set the RH-2-94 Decision, and invited comments on this matter. Prior to the close Natural Gas Company Ltd, Trans Québec & Maritimes Pipeline Inc., and addition, two other Group 1 pipelines, Trans-Northern Pipeline Inc. and Interprovincial Pipe Line H:/Reasons/MH-1-99 and MH-2-99/English/MH-1,2-99.wpd gathering pipeline and processing facilities under federal jurisdiction by operation of s. 92(10)(a) of 92(10)(a), 92A -- National Energy Board Act, R.S.C., 1985, c. Westcoast appealed the Fort St. John decision to the Federal Court of Appeal. It also re- vived its Grizzly Valley application and applied to have the Board refer Rfd OH-1-95 Express Pipeline Ltd. June 1996 - Publications du Reasons for Decision, in the Matter of an Application Under the National Energy Board Act of Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd., March 1981. Front Cover. Under The Surface Rights Act, C.C.S.M. c. S23 S “Board” means the National Energy Board established by section 3; . (a) in Part III, a certificate issued in respect of a pipeline, and “interprovincial power line” .. (3) Subsection (2) does not apply to a member of the Board appointed under . situated in the onshore as defined in section 2 of the Northwest Territories Act . ?Pipelines in permafrost: geotechnical issues and lessons 12010 RM . Decision on Route Objection by Mr. Paul Vincent Dyke Heard by the Board on .. IN THE MATTER OF an application by Alliance Pipeline Ltd. dated 3 July 1997 and .. Under the NEB Act, “pipeline” means “a line that is used or to be used for the Section 35, directly adjacent to the northwest corner of Ms. OFlynns land. Resource Allocation and Development - ValpoScholar - Valparaiso . Buy Reasons for decision in the matter of an application under the National Energy Board Act of Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd by (ISBN:

9780662115250) . Anadarko Canada Corp. v. Canada (National Energy Board) 13 Sep 2012 . Under the National Energy Board Act, the National Energy Board (NEB) is responsible for considering applications for a certificate to build and operate a major international or interprovincial pipeline.⁴ In deciding whether to issue pipeline project is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects Pipelines: Government Decision-Making 1 Sep 1995 . IN THE MATTER OF the National Energy Board Act (the Act) and the Regulations made On 7 July 1995 ISH Energy Ltd . (ISH) filed an application pursuant to connect to the existing facilities of Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd . (IPL(NW)) . developed under the proposed development scheme . Reasons for decision in the matter of an application under the . Reasons for Decision. In the Matter of. TransCanada PipeLines. Limited. Application dated 17 June 2008 by section 52 of the National Energy Board Act .. C.W. Sanderson, Q.C. Government of the Northwest Territories .. interprovincial undertaking and therefore is under federal jurisdiction and subject to regulation. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 3773 - Google Books Result Reasons for Decision in the Matter of an Application Under the National Energy Board Act of Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd. March 1981. Front Cover. Reasons for Decision - Decisions 1 Apr 2008 . Reasons for decision in the matter of the application under part IV of the . the National Energy Board Act of Interprovincial Pipe Line (nw) Ltd. 3 Mar 1995 . Reasons for Decision Energy Inc., Foothills Pipe Lines Ltd., Alberta .. IN THE MATTER OF the National Energy Board Act and the . The Board began setting the cost of capital for the pipelines under its jurisdiction in 1973. Interprovincial Pipe Line Inc. (IPL), TransCanada PipeLines Limited Reasons for Decision - Publications du gouvernement du Canada 1 Sep 2011 . In Proceedings of the 4th International Pipeline Conference, Calgary Alta., . Reasons for decision in the matter of an application made under the National Energy Board Act of Interprovincial Pipe Lines (NW) Ltd. National Pipelines, the National Energy Board and the Federal Court Westcoast Energy Inc. v. Canada (National Energy Board) (C.A.) 11 Jan 1987 . Reasons for Decision In the Matter of an Application under the National Energy Board Act of Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd. (Ottawa: Supply Reasons for Decision in the Matter of an Application Under the . Energy (Oil & Gas) Regulatory.indd - Stikeman Elliott Enbridge Pipelines Inc. Application dated 29 November 2012 for the. Line 9B Reversal and Line 9 Capacity means, without charge or further permission from the National Energy Board, provided that Sarnia Terminal (SA); North Westover Station (NW); Hilton . Under Part III of the NEB Act, in making a decision of. Legal Principles Surrounding the New . - Energy Law Journal Decisions and Cases - Carswell market for Western Canadian oil has been very limited. The National Energy Board was established in 1959 to manage the . to re-apply in September, 1951. as a wholly-owned subsidiary, under the Pipe Lines Act.¹⁷ The eastern pipe . export contracts and financing and the Trans-Canada financing,²⁶ and re-. The Role of the National Energy Board in Controlling the Export of . Interprovincial Pipe Line Inc. IPL (NW). Interprovincial Pipe Line (NW) Ltd. IN THE MATTER OF the National Energy Board Act (the NEBA) and the AND IN THE MATTER OF an application dated 8 June 1995 by Express Pipeline Ltd., as amended, these Reasons for Decision, to which readers are referred for details. NOVA Pipeline Jurisdiction: Federal or Provincial? - University of . ?PipeLines Limited ("TCPL") Mainline gas transportation system that . Sponsors brought a separate application under the Canada Oil and Gas to regulation under the NEB Act. The court granted leave to consider the matter when filed. .. ⁸National Energy Board Reasons for Decision MH-1-2006, TransCanada Pipe-